| Interview Summary   | Application No.   Applicant(s)   Ganet Breton                                       |  |   |               |
|---|---|--|---|---------------|
|   | Examiner  | Grout  | Breton<br>Art Unit  | <del></del> . |
|   | Yidie Ki  | m  | 614   |               |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, P  | TO personnel):  |  |   |               |
| 11) Vickel Kin (Examiner)   | (3)   |  | <b>\</b> ,  |               |
| (2) Jennifer A. Topmiller (Applicant  | Pep.) (4)   |  |   |               |
| Date of Interview   |   |  |   |               |
| Type:   Telephonic Personal (copy is given to   | applicant : applic  | cant's representa  | ative).   |               |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:   | ☐ No. If yes, brief desc  | ription:   |   |               |
|   | ,   |  |   |               |
| Agreement ☐ was reached. ☑ was not reached.   | Dool A  |  |   | <u> </u>      |
| Claim(s) discussed: All pending   | Best Ave  | allable  | Copy  |               |
| Identification of prior art discussed:  |   |  |   |               |
| Lee et al (45 5965618)  |   |  |   |               |
|   |   |  |   |               |
| Description of the general nature of what was agreed to   | if an agreement was read  | hed, or any othe   | er comments:  |               |
| Applicant emphasizes that the cond  | idade who needs   | the treatmen   | t is differe  | <del></del>   |
| 20 4/0 2 5-1  | oe's patent.  |  |   |               |
| However; the Intrut Claims are d  | 1   |  | 10000 : 100   |               |
| skin it ssue that is not different  | from the levi !   | deut   | 100sening/re  | laxing        |
| Thus, the instant claims should be  | amended to the  | ing that K   | 2 Cognites th   |               |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame  | m/relanation in i   | pecific fis  | Sue ) Tuto Year (   | dar Subi      |
| the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no c is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  | copy of the amendents wh  | ich would rende  | r the claims allow  | able M        |
| 1.   It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa  | rate record of the substar  | nce of the interv  | iew   | See           |
| Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate   | to the contrary. A FORM   | AI WRITTEN RE  | SPONSE TO THE   |               |
| LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCL Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE   | UDE THE SUBSTANCE OF<br>as already been filed. APP                                  | THE INTERVIE   | W ISee MPFP   | ROM           |
| 2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (in each of the objections, rejections and requirement claims are now allowable, this completed form is Office action. Applicant is not relieved from proving also checked. | ts that may be present in considered to fulfill the redictions a separate record of | the last Office a<br>sponse requirem<br>the interview ur | ction, and since th<br>lents of the last<br>nless box 1 above |               |
| - continued = Both Parties agreed &   | in other (12, 4   | Power pute   | uting Issue   |               |
| where claim modification and seeming Note: You must sign and strong white form unloss it is no a  | ore-com those   | rejection  | s as we di  | joust         |
| Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an a  | titacilment to a signed Office a  | ection.  | - Hiller  | Heti          |

U. S. Petent and Trademark Office PTO-413 (Rev. 10-95)

Interview Summary

Vanuler John Von